ī	Drogram	Deceription
L.	riogiaiii	Description

B.

at <u>is the pr</u> imary mission of your p <u>rogram</u> (check all that apply):
Basic Skills Cultural and Personal Enrichment
x Transfer Academic Support/Learning Resources
x Career/Technical
gram Description
f applicable, note the number of certificates and degrees that have been awarded in the previous academic year.
http://www.research.fhda.edu/factbook/deanzadegrees/dadivisions.htm
TE programs refer CTE Program Review Addenda reports
www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/resources.html
of Certificates of Achievement
of Certificates of Achievement-Advanced
of AA, AS Degrees
f the program serves staff or students in a capacity other that traditional instruction, e.g. tutorial support, please answer the following two questions
Otherwise, skip to section II below:
. How many people are served?
of Students # of Staff
of Faculty
Number of employees associated with the program?
of Students # of Faculty
of Staff # of Part-Time Faculty
f f

II. Methods of Evaluation and Assessment

A. Attach the "Program Review Data Sheet". Briefly, address student success data relative to your program by answering the items listed below (refer to the link):

 $http://research.fhda.edu/programreview/DAProgramReview/DeAnza_PR_Div_pdf/DeAnzaProgramReviewDiv.htm$

1 Growth or decline in underrepresented populations (Latina/o, African Ancestry, Pacific Islander, Filipino)

Explanation:

As is illustrated in the Program Review Data sheet, the percentage of successful students in the targeted groups during the last three academic years has been relatively stable. During our previous program review, we had proposed three activities towards increasing the enrollment as well as the success of the students in the targeted groups: 1) a tutoring program; 2) formation of a Chemistry club; and 3) outreach activities. While we have taken some initiatives to recruit tutors and provide recommendations to the tutorial center for group tutoring as well as individual tutoring, the other initiatives have not been followed through. During the last two years, and especially more recently, our department has been faced with several challenges that have required the attention of all the faculty members, hence diverting our attention from the proposed activities. Some of these new challenges include: 1) increased frequency of routine laboratory safety inspections coupled with an intensive review of departmental safety and hazardous waste practices 2) impact on our laboratory programs due to wear and tear of laboratory instruments due to lack of service contracts 3) impact on lab curriculum due to our inability so far to comply with new regulations from the Drug Enforcement Agency in order to be able to purchase routine laboratory chemicals. We propose that once these immediate challenges concerning the safety and successful functioning of our laboratories have been addressed, we will return to our goals and commitments to enhance the success of targeted groups with renewed vigor.

2 Trends related to closing the student equity gap relative to college's stated goals: (refer to http://www.deanza.edu/president/EducationalMasterPlan2010-2015Final.pdf, p16)

Explanation:

See IIA3.

3 What progress or achievement has the program made relative to the plans stated in the 2008 Comprehensive Program Review, Section III.B, towards decreasing the student equity gap? See:

http://www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/program_review_files.html, "Program Review Reports, 2009"

Explanation:

There are three areas we identified as a means for attempting to close the equity gap: 1) enouraging our students to become active in our tutoring programs; 2) assist in the creation of a chemistry club; and 3) reach out to area high schools. Faculty have informally attempted to encourage students to obtain tutoring and some have reached out into area high schools to increase awareness of our programs. We have not, however, formalized any process for all three endeavors nor made a concerted departmental effort in pursuing these goals because of the reasons described in IA1 and IC.

4 Overall enrollment growth or decline of all student populations

Explanation: See IIC.

B. Did your program implement any curriculum, program reorganization, etc. changes as a response to changes in College/District policy, state laws, division/department/program level requirements or external agencies regulations? How did the change(s) affect your program?

Change:

While no major curricular changes were made, an important addition was incorporated to all course outlines. All of the chemistry department courses now have clearly defined Student Learning Outcomes. Additionally, a detailed assessment plan was deviced for measuring student learning. The first assessment cycle for some courses have been completed, while others are in progress. Minor changes have also been implemented to chemical storage in response to routine safety inspections by outside agencies.

Explanation: Since most of our courses are already seamlessly articulated to four-year institutions, no major curricular changes were needed. The department updated course outlines in compliance with the 5-year curriculum review cycle. The SLOAC which was in the planning phase during the last program review cycle is now well into the implementation phase. Additionally, the department also altered some of the methods for chemical storage based on the results of a safety inspection. This was also a minor adjustment since only a change in the type of carboy used to store large chemical quantitites was needed. The new carboys, however, did place an additional strain on the already meager department budget.

Based on the 2008-09 Comprehensive Program Review, Section I.C., "Main Areas of Improvement", briefly address your program's progress in moving towards assessment or planning or current implementation of effective solutions.

See: http://www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/program_review_files.html, "Program Review Reports, 2009"

Explanation: Our department has made excellent progress in its goals of assessing student outcomes and a somewhat more modest progress in meeting student demands. The assessment of student outcomes is discussed in section III of this document. In this section we will present our issues pertaining to meeting student demand. Data obtained from Spring 2009 indicated that there were 300 students on the waitlist for all the chemistry classes combined. In response to this our department increased the total sections offered by 14% for the academic year 2009-10 in comparison to 2007-08. As a result we were able to increase our enrollment by 119 students during that same period. Continuing the same trend, we have added two sections to our offerings in 2010-11 as well. Despite these increased course offerings, all of our classes continue to have a full waitlist thereby demonstrating a sustained demand for all our classes. Any further increases in class offerings to meet this demand would however be unsustainable due to the following reasons: (Note: All of the classes offered by chemistry have a laboratory component) 1) The American Chemical Society (ACS) Guideline for Two-Year College chemistry programs states that the number of students per lab section should be limited to 25 students for General Chemistry and 20 students for organic chemistry. However, in our program we have exceeded this limit and enroll 28 students per lab section in General Chemistry and 26 students per lab section in Organic Chemistry. 2) The ACS guideline states that to maintain the quality of the program and safety in the laboratory the number of credit hours taught by fulltime faculty should exceed 75%. During the 2009-10 academic year our total FTEF was 12.01 and our percent full-time FTEF was 50%. The percent full-time FTEF has diminished even further for the 2010-11. 3) The third and perhaps most important guideline from ACS states that each chemistry department should operate with one full-time laboratory technician for every four full-time equivalent faculty. We have one full-time laboratory technician for the 12.01 FTEF. The three major discrepancies we have highlighted here have placed an undue burden on departmental resources. The additional laboratory sections and larger than standard class sizes have placed an increasing amount of strain on the faculty and staff and potentially compromises the safety of students in the laboratory. As a result we emphasize that any further increases in course offerings that is not balanced with additional full-time laboratory support would be counter-productive and unsustainable.

D. Career Technical Education (CTE) programs, provide regional, state, and labor market data, employment statistics; please see "CTE Program Review Addenda" at:

www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/resources.html

Identify any significant trends that may affect your program relative to:

- 1 Curriculum content.
- 2 Future plans for your program e.g. enrollment management plans.

I	_			-	
I NI A	cia	nifica	nt /	char	α
UVU	215	mille	III (Judi	וצכ

Impact:

Ш

IPBT Annual Program Review Update Chemistry

	Explanation:		
E.	Career Technic	cal Education (CTE), provide recommendations from	this year's Advisory Board (or other groups outside of your program, etc.). Briefly,
	address any si	gnificant recommendations from the group. Describe	e your program's progress in moving towards assessment or planning or current
	implementation	on of effective solutions.	
	No significa	ant change	
	Impact:		
	Explanation:		
Se	lect IIIA or l	IIIB below:	
Not	te instructions	and materials for this section can be found at:	https://www.deanza.edu/slo
A.	For programs	whose primarily align to the Institutional Core Comp	petencies, ICCs: attach the 2010-11 "Mapping Program Level Outcomes to Institutional

1 Describe the processes by which your program members have or will assess program level outcomes: (check those that apply)

x course-embedded surveys
Other, describe here:

2 Review the ECMS-SLO Summary Report or SSLO Summary Report (*Division Deans shall be sent that report*). What percentage of courses that should undergo a SLOAC process are:

NA 30% complete 40% in progress 30% scheduled to be assessed

Core Competencies" sheet(s) and "Program Level Outcomes Assessment Plan" sheet(s)

3 Below, briefly describe the level of engagement by your program staff and faculty with the outcomes assessment process (SLOAC, SSLOAC) since last year?

Currently, the chemistry department offers ten unique classes. Student Learning Outcomes for all ten courses were written at the end of the 2008-09 academic year. During the 2009-10 academic year, the learning outcomes for 30% of the classes were completely assessed. The results from the assessment, as well as the departmental reflections on the assessment data, have been recorded in the ECMS system. Currently, the department is gathering the assessment data from 40% of the remaining classes. The results from the assessments are already available and have been posted in the ECMS system. The department is currently discussing the data from the assessments that have been completed. Reflection statements from the assessment data will be published in the ECMS system by the end of the spring quarter of this academic year. Individual faculty members have been responsible for assessing the outcomes and gathering the results from the assessment. All the full-time faculty (six in number) and the single staff person (stock-room manager/laboratory technician) are engaged in the discussions related to the assessment data. In summary, at the beginning of this academic year, we had completed the assessment of learning outcomes for 30% of our courses. At the end of this academic year, we anticipate that we will have completed the assessment of learning outcomes for 70% of our courses. We are committed to completing the assessment of 100% of our courses by the end of Fall 2011. With regards to Program Level Outcomes, our department has drafted outcome statements for the program at the beginning of the current academic year. A commitment to assess the various outcome statements has been planned and this has been submitted to outcomes@deanza.edu recently.

4 What program enhancements are you implementing as a result of the program level assessment process? Describe enhancements that do not require additional resources below:

summarize result:	In general, our	plan/enhancement:	In an ideal situation the data obtained from our assessment would lead us to completely
	assessment data		re-examining the laboratory program for each and every one of our classes. A successful
	suggests that the		laboratory program would be one that a) clearly supplements and demonstrates the
	learning outcomes		concepts students have learned in the lecture b) engages students in state-of-the-art
	listed for the various		techniques and instrumentation and c) enhances critical thinking skills of the students.
	classes are being		Such an extensive reorganization of the program requires additional resources in four
	achieved. We also		primary categories: 1) laboratory staff support; 2) modern instrumentation; 3)
	recognized a close		adequate laboratory supplies; and 4) continuous maintenance of laboratory equipment.
	correlation between		Given the limitations in resources, such projects have currently not been undertaken by
	successful learning and		the department. However, we recognize the importance of some nominal restructuring
	a coordinated lecture-		that is required in some parts of the program. To this end, currently we are working to
	laboratory program. In		revise the laboratory program in three out of our 10 course offerings (Chem 50, Chem
	other words, courses		30A, and Chem 30B). These changes will likely go in effect during the 2011-12 academic
	with a strong		year. We plan to reassess these classes at that time to identify the enhancements that
	laboratory program		might result from the incorporated changes.
	that is intimately		
	connected to topics		
	included in the course		
	outline of the lecture		
	portion of the class		
	show a significantly		
	improved learning		
	environment for the		
	students.		
summarize result:		plan/enhancement:	
r programs whose	PLOs primarily align to	· '	es: Attach the 2010-11 "Mapping Program Level Outcomes to Strategic Initiatives"
	m Level Outcomes Asse	<u> </u>	

1	Describe the processes by which yo	our program members have or will assess program level outcomes: (check those that apply)
	course-embedded	surveys
	Other, describe here:	
2	Review the ECMS-SLO Summary Re	eport or SSLO Summary Report (<i>Division Deans shall be sent that report</i>). What percentage of courses that should
	undergo a SLOAC process are:	
	NA complete	in progress scheduled to be assessed
3	Below, briefly describe the level of	engagement by your program staff and faculty with the outcomes assessment process (SLOAC, SSLOAC) since last
	year?	

4 What program enhancements are you implementing as a result of the program level assessment process? Describe enhancements that do not require additional resources below:

summarize result:	pla	an/enhancement:	
summarize result:	pla	an/enhancement:	

Department Summary

IV. Attach 2008-09 Comprehensive Program Review Budget Data Form. Add a column of data that lists the amounts allocated for the 2010-11 academic year.

See: http://www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/program_review_files.html, "Program Review Reports, 2009"

- V. Resource requests include: staff, faculty, materials, "B" Budget, faculty refresh, Measure C equipment
 - A. Please submit up to three **faculty and/or staff** requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed)

1 Rank	Replace	Growth			
Position:	Stockroom manager				
Department:	Chemistry	Contact person	David Gray	extension	5608

1 Briefly state below how this person will enhance or maintain the status quo of your program plan to improve student learning relative to the campus Mission, Institutional Core Competencies, or Program goals/plans below:

Statement:

As discussed in IIC, our ability to grow and maintain the program has reached a limit due to the large number of laboratory classes the department offers relative to the single full-time support staff. Our current stockroom assistant not only prepares equipment and chemical samples for use in the laboratories, but she is also responsible for managing records related to and preparing the laboratory areas for safety inspection; she is integral to the maintenance of a safe and compliant laboratory environment; she actively participates in all departmental activities, including the development of curriculum and the development of our laboratory program; and she is responsible for ordering supplies and assisting with the maintenance of laboratory equipment. Because of this wide variety of responsibilities, and the ACS guidelines mentioned in IIC, a second full-time stockroom position is required for further growth of the program.

2 Highlight FTE, PT/FTE ratios, and WSCH that support your request below:

Please see IIC for a discussion of FTEF to stockroom staff ratio.

- 3 If applicable, discuss PLOAC assessment results that support the program need for this resource below:
- 4 Please note: It is an expectation that all resources that are allocated 2 or more years prior to the next Comprehensive Program Review (2013-14) will be assessed relative to their contribution to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, briefly state some of the criteria you may use to assess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to your program below:
- B. As applicable, list your requests for:

Materials, "B" Budget, faculty refresh, Measure C equipment

refer to: http://www.deanza.edu/gov/techtaskforce/pdf/Measure%20C_Prioritization_Processes_ClgeCnclApproved6_10_10.pdf

Rank	Replace	Growth		
Item Description:	Laboratory Instr	uctional Equipment; gas chromat	ograph; rotovaps; ph p	robes, NMR spectrophotometer
Cost Estimate:				
Contact person:	David Gray		extension	5608
		will enhance or maintain the status que cies, or Program goals/plans below:	o of your program plan to im	nprove student learning relative to the
		rt of science education; need to replace l and energy concerns	aging equipment; offer more	e relevant experiments that reflect cur
2 Highlight FTE, P	T/FTE ratios and WS	CH that support your request below:		
3 If applicable, dis	cuss PLOAC outcome	assessment results that support the pr	ogram need for this resource	e below:
		ll resource that are allocated 2 or more		
assessed relative	e to their contributior	ll resource that are allocated 2 or more to the program, its course or program sess the effect of this additional resour	level outcomes and its prog	
assessed relative some of the criteria: Criteria: Summary cource Requests in	e to their contribution eria you may use to as	n to the program, its course or program issess the effect of this additional resources the effect of this additional resources. materials, "B" Budget, facility refres	level outcomes and its progree to your program below: h, Measure C equipment	
assessed relative some of the criteria: Criteria: Summary Source Requests in Please submit up to	e to their contribution eria you may use to as clude: staff, faculty, o three faculty and/o	to the program, its course or program seess the effect of this additional resour materials, "B" Budget, facility refres r staff requests below in ranked order:	level outcomes and its progree to your program below: h, Measure C equipment	
assessed relative some of the criteria: Criteria: Summary cource Requests in	e to their contribution eria you may use to as	n to the program, its course or program issess the effect of this additional resources the effect of this additional resources. materials, "B" Budget, facility refres	level outcomes and its progree to your program below: h, Measure C equipment	
assessed relative some of the criteria: Criteria: Source Requests in Please submit up to Rank	e to their contribution eria you may use to as clude: staff, faculty, o three faculty and/o	to the program, its course or program seess the effect of this additional resour materials, "B" Budget, facility refres r staff requests below in ranked order:	level outcomes and its progree to your program below: h, Measure C equipment	
assessed relative some of the criteria: Criteria: Cs Summary Cource Requests in Please submit up to Rank Position:	e to their contribution eria you may use to as clude: staff, faculty, o three faculty and/o	to the program, its course or program seess the effect of this additional resour materials, "B" Budget, facility refres r staff requests below in ranked order:	level outcomes and its progree to your program below: h, Measure C equipment	
assessed relative some of the criteria: Criteria: Summary Source Requests in Please submit up to Rank Position: Department: Contact person: 1 In addition to th	e to their contribution eria you may use to as aclude: staff, faculty, o three faculty and/o Replace e Department's ration	to the program, its course or program seess the effect of this additional resour materials, "B" Budget, facility refres r staff requests below in ranked order:	h, Measure C equipment (copy this section as needed) extension fly state how this person wi	ram review criteria. In this light, brief

B.

IPBT Annual Program Review Update Chemistry

3 In light of the dep Division below:	partment's statements about assessment results, describe any additional need or service to the College this person may bring to the
their contribution	on that resource allocations (awarded 2 or more years prior to the next Comprehensive Program Review) will be assessed relative to us to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, briefly state some of the criteria may use to assess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to your program below:
Criteria:	
	our requests for: get, faculty refresh, Measure C equipment ganza.edu/gov/techtaskforce/pdf/Measure%20C_Prioritization_Processes_ClgeCnclApproved6_10_10.pdf
Please submit mater a prioritized list of al	rials, "B" Budget, faculty refresh, Measure C equipment, requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed.) List 3 here, keep Il items on hand.
Rank	Replace Growth
Item Description:	
Cost Estimate:	
Contact person:	extension:
resource enhance	erspective, are there additional factors to add to the Department's rationale for this resource request? How will the addition of this e or maintain the status quo of this program's plan to improve student learning relative to the campus Mission, Institutional Core Program Goals? Use the following three sections below to state:
Rational here:	
2 Highlight FTE, PR	R/FTE ratios and WSCH that support the request below:
3 If applicable, disc	cuss PLOAC outcome assessment results that support the program need for this resource below:
assessed relative	an expectation that all resources that are allocated (awarded 2 or more years prior to the next Comprehensive Program Review) will be to their contributions to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, briefly state ria you, as a Dean, may use to assess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to your program below: