| • | T | - | |
|----|----------|----------|-------|
| I. | Program | LIACCTI | ntınn |
| l. | riugiam | DESCII | DUDI |
| | | | |

| Pr | ogra | im Description | |
|----|------|--|--|
| A. | Wh | at is the primary mission o | f your program? (check all that apply) |
| | | Basic Skills | Cultural and Personal Enrichment |
| | | Transfer | x Academic Support/Learning Resources |
| | | x Career/Technical | |
| B. | Pro | gram Description | |
| | 1 | Http://research.fhda.edu/ | nber of certificates and degrees that have been awarded in the previous academic year. factbook/deanzadegrees/dadivisions.htm (CTE programs also refer to the CTE Program Review Addenda report IPBT/resources.html; it will be posted by 3/14/11.) |
| | | # Certificates of A | chievement |
| | | # Certificate of Ac | hievement-Advanced |
| | | # AS, AA Degrees | |
| | 2 | If the program serves staf two questions. Otherwise | f or students in a capacity <i>other than traditional instruction</i> , e.g. tutorial support, please answer the following s, skip to section II below. |
| | a. | How many people are ser | ved? |
| | | 5,900 # Students 1 # Faculty | 30 # Staff |
| | b. | Number of employees ass | ociated with the program? |
| | | 3 # Students 0.25 # Staff | # Faculty # Part-Time Faculty |
| Me | etho | ds of Evaluation and As | sessment |
| Α. | | | Data Sheet" (refer to: http://research.fhda.edu/programreview/programreview.htm). Briefly, address student |
| | | | program by answering the items listed below: |
| | 1 | Growth or decline in unde | errepresented populations (Latina/o, African Ancestry, Pacific Islander, Filipino) |
| | | Explanation: | It remained the same at 30%, a slight but not significant bit above the college average of 29%. |
| | 2 | Trends related to closing t | the student equity gap relative to the college's stated goals: (refer to |
| | 2 | http://www.deanza.edu/p | resident/EducationalMasterPlan2010-2015Final.pdf, p.16 |
| | | Explanation: | We did not make any progress on closing the achievement gap. In 08/09 we had successs rates of 78 non-target and 70 target. In 09/10 they were 78 and 69. A lisght but not significant decrease. |
| | 3 | What progress or achieve III.B, towards decreasing t | ment has the program made relative to the plans stated in the 2008 Comprehensive Program Review, Section the student equity gap? |
| | | Explanation: | The goal outlined was to work with Institutional rResearch to have metrics for our equity. Therse are now in place and are reflected in this program review. |

4 Overall enrollment growth or decline of all student populations

II.

| Explanation: | Our enrollment declined as our program was downsized. |
|--------------|---|
| Emplanation. | our chi official accimica as our program was downsized. |

B. Did your program implement any curriculum, program reorganization, etc. changes as a response to changes in College/District policy, state laws, division/department/program level requirements or external agencies regulations? How did the change(s) affect your program?

| Change: | No |
|--------------|----|
| Explanation: | |

C. Based on the 2008 Comprehensive Program Review, Section I.C. "Main Areas for Improvement", briefly address your program's progress in moving towards assessment or planning or current implementation of effective solutions.

| Explanation: | The main area for improvement listed on our 2008 program review was the need for institutionalization. We |
|--------------|---|
| | now have a full time permanent director. We now have a 25% classified position, and we have received a |
| | grant for a full time classified position. We also have a real need to impove our equity numbers. Our |
| | achievemnt gap numbers have not improved. |
| | |

D. Career Technical Education (CTE) programs, provide regional, state, and labor market data, employment statistics, please see: www.deanza.edu/gov/IPBT/resources.html, "CTE Program Review Addenda", (will be available after 3/13/11).

Identify any significant trends that may affect your program relative to:

- 1) Curriculum Content;
- 2) Future plans for your program e.g. enrollment management plans.

| No significant chan | ges |
|---------------------|--|
| Impact: | 50 students a year graduating from our certificate program by 2013/14 |
| Explanation: | We are presently awaiting state approval for our certificate in Leadership and Social Change |

E. *Career Technical Education (CTE)*, provide recommendations from this year's Advisory Board (or other groups outside of your program, etc.) Briefly, address any significant recommendations from the group. Describe your program's progress in moving towards assessment or planning or current implementation of effective solutions.

| No significant chan | nges |
|---------------------|--|
| Impact: | We are beginning work on an outside advisory board |
| Explanation: | For our certificate program we will set up an advisory board to be in place by 2011/12 |

- III. Select IIIA or IIIB below: (Note instructions and materials for this section will be given at the March 11th and 16th workshops, see www.deanza.edu/slo "Closing the loop..." Contact SLO Coordinators: Mary Pape or Tono Ramirez for more information.
 - A. For programs whose PLOs primarily align to the ICCs: Attach the 2010-11 "PLO to ICC Matching" sheet(s) and "PLO Assessment Planning Calendar" sheet(s)
 - 1 Describe the processes by which your program members have or will assess program level outcomes: (check those that apply)

| course-embedded | X | surveys |
|-----------------|---|---------|
| | | |

B.

IPBT Annual Program Review Update Institution of Community and Civic Engagement

| | Other, describe here: We will also look at institutional data | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | 2 Review the ECMS-SLO Summary Report or SSLO Summary Report (Division Deans shall be sent that report) What percentage of courses | | | | | |
| | that should undergo a SLOAC process are: | | | | | |
| | x NA | complete | in progress | to be assessed | | |
| 3 | | level of engagement by your program staff and | faculty with the outcomes assessmen | nt process (SLOAC, | | |
| | SSLOAC) since last year? | | | | | |
| | | l have devised our assesment methods | | | | |
| 4 | do not require additional re | nts are you implementing as a result of the proesources below: | ogram level assessment process? Des | cribe enhancements that | | |
| | summarize results: | none yet | Plan/Enhancement: | | | |
| | summarize results: | | Plan/Enhancement: | | | |
| | programs whose PLOs prim O Assessment Planning Cale | narily align to the Strategic Initiatives: Attach tendar" sheet(s) | the 2010-11 "PLO to Strategic Initiativ | re Matching" sheet(s) and | | |
| 1 | Describe the processes by v | which your program members have or will ass | ess program level outcomes: (check t | hose that apply) | | |
| | course-embedded | x surveys | | | | |
| | Other, describe here: | In addition to surveys we will evaluate the po | rtfolios of students completing our ce | rtificate program | | |
| 2 | | mary Report or SSLO Summary Report (Divisi | on Deans shall be sent that report) W | hat percentage of courses | | |
| | that should undergo a SLOA | | _ | _ | | |
| | x NA | complete | in progress | to be assessed | | |
| 3 | | level of engagement by your program staff and | d faculty with the outcomes assessmen | nt process (SLOAC, | | |
| | SSLOAC) since last year? | | | | | |
| | We are working with Instituonal research to get an assessment of students have taken a service course | | | | | |
| 4 | 4 What program enhancements are you implementing as a result of the program level assessment process? Describe enhancements that | | | | | |
| | do not require additional resources below: | | | | | |
| | summarize results: | None yet | Plan/Enhancement: | | | |
| | summarize results: | | Plan/Enhancement: | | | |

Department Summary

- IV. Attach 2008 Comprehensive Program Review Budget Data Form. Add a column of data that lists the amounts allocated for the 2010-11 academic year.
- V. Resource Requests include: staff, faculty, materials, "B" Budget, facility refresh, Measure C equipment
 - A. Please submit up to three faculty and/or staff requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed)

| Rank | replacement | growth | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Position: | Геріасентен | growth | |
| Department : | | Contact Person, ext. | |
| | person will enhance or maintain t cutional Core Competencies, or Pro | he status quo of your program plan to improve s ogram goals/plans below: | tudent learning relative to the |
| 2 Highlight FTE, PT/FTI | E ratios and WSCH that support yo | our request below: | |
| 3 If applicable, discuss | PLOAC assessment results that su | pport the program need for this resource below: | |
| | | | |
| program below: As applicable, list your rec | u may use to assess the effect of this additional s | stait/faculty position to your | |
| | cility refresh, Measure C equiptocheron control contro | | |
| Please submit materials, "B" Budget, facility refresh, Measure C equipment, requests below in ranked order: (copy this section a List 3 here, keep a prioritized list of all items on hand. | | | |
| 1 Rank | replacement | growth or students to do oral history documentation | |
| Item Description: | | | |
| Cost Estimate : | \$6,000 | Contact Person, ext. | C. Kaufman X 8739 |
| | resource will enhance or maintair cutional Core Competencies, or Pr | the status quo of your program plan to improve ogram goals/plans below: | e student learning relative to th |
| We are beginning an o | loral history program that will foc | us on underserved students. It is beginning in th | e SANKOFA program and with |

2 Highlight FTE, PT/FTE ratios and WSCH that support your request below:

3 If applicable, discuss PLOAC assessment results that support the program need for this resource below:

4 Please note: It is an expectation that all resources that are allocated 2 or more years prior to the next comprehensive program review (2013-14) will be assessed relative to their contribution to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, briefly state some of the criteria you may use to assess the effect of this additional resource upon your program below:

We hope to see our equity gap and accessnumbers improve and we engage more intentionally with targetted student populations.

Dean's Summary

- VI. Resource Requests include: staff, faculty, materials, "B" Budget, facility refresh, Measure C equipment
 - A. Please submit up to three **faculty and/or staff** requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed)

| Rank | replacement | growth | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Position: | | | | | |
| Department : | | Contact Person, ext. | | | |
| | In addition to the Department's rationale and from a <u>dean's perspective</u> , briefly state how this person will enhance or maintain the status quo of your program plan to improve student learning relative to the campus Mission, Institutional Core Competencies, or Program goals/plans below: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2 Address FTE, PT/FTE ratio | os and WSCH that support your request below: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | In light of the department's statements about assessment results, describe any additional need or service to the College this person may bring to the Division below: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| assessed relative to their co | source allocations (awarded 2 or more years prontributions to the program, its course or program che criteria you, as the Dean, may use to assess | ram level outcomes and its program r | review criteria. In this | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

B. As applicable, list your requests for:

Materials, "B" Budget, facility refresh, Measure C equipment Refer to:

 $http://www.deanza.edu/gov/techtaskforce/pdf/Measure\%20C_Prioritization_Processes_ClgeCnclApproved6_10_10.pdf$

Please submit **materials**, **"B" Budget**, **facility refresh**, **Measure C equipment**, requests below in ranked order: (copy this section as needed) List 3 here, keep a prioritized list all items on hand.

| | Rank | replacement | growth |
|--|------|-------------|--------|
| | | 1 | 10 |

| Item Description: | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| Cost Estimate : | Contact Person, ext. | |

From a <u>Dean's perspective</u>, are there additional factors to add to the Department's rationale for this resource request? How will the addition of this resource enhance or maintain the status quo of this program's plan to improve student learning relative to the campus Mission, Institutional Core Competencies, or Program Goals? Use the following three sections below to state:

- 1 Additional factors:
- 2 Highlight FTE, PT/FTE ratios and WSCH that support the request below:
- 3 If applicable, discuss PLOAC assessment results that support the program need for this resource below:
- 4 It is an expectation that resource allocations (awarded 2 or more years prior to the next comprehensive program review) will be assessed relative to their contributions to the program, its course or program level outcomes and its program review criteria. In this light, briefly state some of the criteria you, <u>as the Dean</u>, may use to assess the effect of this additional staff/faculty position to your program below: